

A FAKE PROJECTIVE PLANE VIA 2-ADIC UNIFORMIZATION WITH TORSION

DANIEL ALLCOCK AND FUMIHARU KATO

ABSTRACT. We adapt the theory of non-Archimedean uniformization to construct a smooth surface from a lattice in $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_2)$ that has nontrivial torsion. It turns out to be a fake projective plane, commensurable with Mumford’s fake plane yet distinct from it and the other fake planes that arise from 2-adic uniformization by torsion-free groups. As part of the proof, and of independent interest, we compute the homotopy type of the Berkovich space of our plane.

The original definition of a fake projective plane is a compact complex surface that has the same Betti numbers as \mathbb{CP}^2 , but is not \mathbb{CP}^2 . The first example was given by Mumford [13], and all fake planes have recently been classified by Prasad-Yeung [15] and Cartwright-Steger [8]: there are 100 of them up to isomorphism, in 50 complex-conjugate pairs.

Mumford used the theory of 2-adic uniformization, beginning with a well-chosen discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_2)$. His construction yields a fake projective plane over \mathbb{Q}_2 . For this to make sense, we use Mumford’s definition of a fake plane X over a general field K , which specializes to the above definition when $K = \mathbb{C}$. Namely: X is a smooth and geometrically connected proper surface over K , such that its base change to $X_{\overline{K}}$ satisfies $P_g = q = 0$, $c_1^2 = 3c_2 = 9$ and has ample canonical class. Here \overline{K} denotes the algebraic closure of K . To get a fake plane in the original sense, one identifies $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_2}$ with \mathbb{C} by some isomorphism.

The machinery used by Mumford required his discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_2)$ to be torsion-free, and there are exactly two additional fake planes that can be constructed this way [11]. The purpose of this paper is to show that torsion can be allowed in the construction, leading to a “new” fake plane. Of course, it occurs in the Prasad-Yeung–Cartwright-Steger enumeration; what is new is that there is another fake plane realizable by 2-adic uniformization.

Date: November 2, 2014.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11F23; 14J25.

First author supported by NSF grant DMS-1101566.

This is interesting for two reasons. First, uniformization by groups containing torsion is possible and useful. Second, in the 2-adic approach, X is the generic fiber of a flat family over the 2-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_2 , and the central fiber gives a great deal of geometric information about X that is not available in the Prasad-Yeung approach. For example, Ishida [10] showed that Mumford's fake plane covers an elliptic surface whose singular fibers have specific types, and Keum was able to use this to construct another fake plane [12]. The main open problem about fake planes is to construct one by non-transcendental methods. Since 2-adic uniformization yields additional information about the planes that may be constructed using it, we may reasonably hope that it will help solve this problem.

1. NON-ARCHIMEDEAN UNIFORMIZATION

In this section we give background material on non-Archimedean uniformization and recall how this guided Mumford in choosing the torsion-free lattice in $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_2)$ that uniformizes his fake plane. We call his lattice Σ_M ; his notation was Γ . In the next section we will describe another lattice $\Sigma_L \subseteq \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_2)$ and show how to use it to build a fake plane, even though Σ_L contains torsion.

Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring, K its field of fractions and $k = R/\pi R$ the residue field, where $\pi \in R$ is a fixed uniformizer. We assume k is finite with say q elements. We write \mathcal{B}_K for the Bruhat–Tits building of $\mathrm{PGL}_3(K)$. This is a 2-dimensional simplicial complex whose vertices are the homothety classes of rank-three R -submodules of K^3 . Vertices are joined by an edge if (after scaling) one module contains the other with quotient a 1-dimensional k vector space. Three vertices span a triangle if they are pairwise joined by edges. $\mathrm{PGL}_3(K)$ acts on \mathcal{B}_K in the obvious way.

The Drinfeld upper-half plane Ω_K^2 over K means the set of closed points of \mathbb{P}_K^2 , minus those that lie on K -rational lines. It is an admissible open subset of the rigid analytic space $\mathbb{P}_K^{2,\mathrm{an}}$, hence a rigid analytic space itself. We write $\widehat{\Omega}_K^2$ for the ‘standard’ formal model of Ω_K^2 from [14, Prop. 2.4], where it is denoted $\mathcal{P}(\Delta_*)$ with $\Delta_* = \mathcal{B}_K$. This is a formal scheme, flat and locally of finite type over $\mathrm{Spf} R$, and equipped with a $\mathrm{PGL}_3(K)$ -action. It has the following properties.

- The closed fiber $\widehat{\Omega}_{K,0}^2$ is normal crossing, with each component a non-singular rational surface over k , isomorphic to \mathbb{P}_k^2 blown up at all k -rational points.

- The double curves of $\widehat{\Omega}_{K,0}^2$ that lie in one of these components are the exceptional curves of this blowup, which are (-1) -curves, and the proper transforms of k -rational lines of \mathbb{P}_k^2 , which are $(-q)$ -curves. Each double curve has different self-intersection numbers in the two components containing it.
- The dual complex of the closed fiber $\widehat{\Omega}_{K,0}^2$ is $\mathrm{PGL}_3(K)$ -equivariantly isomorphic to \mathcal{B}_K .

The second property allows us to orient the edges of \mathcal{B}_K , a property we will use only in section 3. An edge corresponds to a curve where two components of $\widehat{\Omega}_K^2$ meet; we orient the edge so that it goes from the component in which the curve has self-intersection -1 to the one in which it has self-intersection $-q$. The mnemonic is that the arrow on the edge can be thought of as a greater-than sign, indicating $-1 > -q$. Obviously $\mathrm{PGL}_3(K)$ respects the orientations of edges. A triangle in \mathcal{B}_K corresponds to an intersection point of 3 components of $\widehat{\Omega}_K^2$, and from the description of the double curves it is easy to see that the edges corresponding to the three incident double curves form an oriented circuit. This induces a cyclic ordering on the set of these double curves. (Everything in this paragraph could alternately be developed in terms of R -submodules of K^3 containing each other.)

Now suppose Γ is a torsion-free lattice in $\mathrm{PGL}_3(K)$; all lattices are uniform, so the coset space is compact [17]. Because Γ is discrete and torsion-free, it acts freely on \mathcal{B}_K . By the correspondence between the vertices of \mathcal{B}_K and the components of $\widehat{\Omega}_K^2$, Γ also acts freely on $\widehat{\Omega}_K^2$, and properly discontinuously with respect to the Zariski topology. The quotient $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_\Gamma := \widehat{\Omega}_K^2/\Gamma$ is a proper flat formal R -scheme, whose closed fiber $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{\Gamma,0}$ is a normal crossing divisor [14, Thm. 3.1].

Its relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_\Gamma/R}$ over R is thus the sheaf of relative log differential 2-forms. Since there are ‘enough’ double curves on each component one can show that $\omega_{\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_\Gamma/R}$ is relatively ample ([14, p. 204]). This implies that the formal scheme $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_\Gamma$ is algebraizable, that is, isomorphic to the π -adic formal completion of a proper flat R -scheme \mathfrak{X}_Γ , which is uniquely determined up to isomorphism. The generic fiber $X_\Gamma := \mathfrak{X}_{\Gamma,\eta}$ is then a proper smooth surface over K , and has ample canonical class. See [9, §5.4] for background.

On the other hand, Γ also acts freely and properly discontinuously on Ω_K^2 . This allows the construction of the rigid analytic quotient Ω_K^2/Γ , which turns out to be K -isomorphic to the rigid analytic surface X_Γ^{an} got from X_Γ by analytification. In other words, Ω_K^2/Γ is the Raynaud

generic fiber of the formal scheme $\hat{\mathfrak{X}}_\Gamma$. In particular, the closed points of X_Γ are in bijection with those of Ω_K^2/Γ .

Now we come to Mumford's construction of his fake plane:

Proposition 1.1 ([13, §1]). *Let N be the number of Γ -orbits on the vertices of \mathcal{B}_K , and as usual write $q(X) := \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ for the irregularity and $P_g(X) := \dim H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ for the geometric genus of $X = X_\Gamma$. Then*

- (a) $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) := 1 - q(X) + P_g(X)$ is equal to $\frac{N}{3}(q-1)^2(q+1)$;
- (b) $c_1^2(X) = 3c_2(X) = 3N(q-1)^2(q+1)$;
- (c) $q(X) = 0$;
- (d) the canonical class K_X is ample. □

Mumford took $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$ (so $q = 2$) and chose a lattice in $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_2)$ we call Σ_M , which is vertex-transitive (so $N = 1$) and torsion-free (so the machinery applies). Abbreviating X_{Σ_M} to X_M , it follows that X_M is a fake projective plane over \mathbb{Q}_2 .

We will use the same idea, but more work is required because the group Σ_L uniformizing our fake plane X_L contains torsion. We have now provided all the background necessary for the construction of our plane, so the reader could skip to section 2 immediately.

By [11] there are exactly three fake planes that can be obtained from Mumford's construction, using torsion-free groups. To show that our fake plane is distinct from them, in section 3 we will compare their Berkovich spaces. Here is the necessary background, cf. [4][5].

In the sequel, for a rigid space or an algebraic variety Z over a complete non-Archimedean field, we denote by Z^{Berk} the associated Berkovich space; see [5, 1.6] for the relation between rigid geometry and Berkovich geometry, and [4, 3.4] for Berkovich GAGA. Notice that, in both cases, the associated Berkovich space Z^{Berk} is uniquely determined, and that the functor $Z \mapsto Z^{\mathrm{Berk}}$ is fully faithful.

Let X be a quasi-projective variety over K , and G a finite group acting on X by automorphisms over K . It is well-known that the quotient X/G is represented by a quasi-projective variety over K .

Lemma 1.2. *The quotient X^{Berk}/G by the canonically induced action of G on X^{Berk} is represented by a Berkovich K -analytic space, and is naturally isomorphic to $(X/G)^{\mathrm{Berk}}$. Moreover, the underlying topological space of X^{Berk}/G coincides with the topological quotient of the topological space X^{Berk} by G .*

Proof. We may assume that X is affine $X = \mathrm{Spec} A$, where A is a finite type algebra over K . By [4, Remark 3.4.2], we know that X^{Berk}

is the set of all multiplicative seminorms $|\cdot|$ on A that extends the valuation $\|\cdot\|$ on K . Set $B = A^G$, the G -invariant part, which is again a finite type algebra over K . Consider $Y = \operatorname{Spec} B$ and the map $\pi: X^{\operatorname{Berk}} \rightarrow Y^{\operatorname{Berk}}$ given by the restriction of seminorms.

First we show that π is surjective. Take $y = |\cdot|_y \in Y^{\operatorname{Berk}}$, and let \mathfrak{q} be the kernel of $|\cdot|_y$, which is a prime ideal of B . Since A/B is finite, there exists a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of A such that $\mathfrak{p} \cap B = \mathfrak{q}$. Let $\mathcal{H}(y)$ be the completion of the residue field $\kappa = \operatorname{Frac}(B/\mathfrak{q})$ by the valuation induced from $|\cdot|_y$. Since $\kappa = \operatorname{Frac}(B/\mathfrak{q}) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Frac}(A/\mathfrak{p})$ is finite, $\mathcal{H}(y) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Frac}(A/\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{H}(y)$ is a finite extension of fields, and hence the valuation $|\cdot|_y$ uniquely extends to a valuation on the latter field. We thus have a multiplicative seminorm $x = |\cdot|_x$ on A , which extends $|\cdot|_y$, which shows the surjectivity of π .

Let $x = |\cdot|_x \in X^{\operatorname{Berk}}$, and consider x^g by $g \in G$, which is the seminorm given by the composition $A \xrightarrow{g} A \xrightarrow{|\cdot|_x} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. In this situation, we clearly have $x|_B = x^g|_B$. Conversely, suppose $x = |\cdot|_x$ and $x' = |\cdot|_{x'}$ are points of X^{Berk} and satisfy $x|_B = x'|_B$. Let \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{p}' be the kernels of $|\cdot|_x$ and $|\cdot|_{x'}$, and \mathfrak{q} the kernel of their restriction on B . Since $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p} \cap B = \mathfrak{p}' \cap B$, there exists $g \in G$ such that $g^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}'$. Replacing x' by x'^g , we may assume $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}'$. Then, by the uniqueness of the extension, $|\cdot|_x$ and $|\cdot|_{x'}$ coincide on $\operatorname{Frac}(A/\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{H}(y)$, and hence we have $x = x'$.

Thus the map $X^{\operatorname{Berk}}/G \rightarrow Y^{\operatorname{Berk}}$ is set-theoretically bijective. By the construction, it is clearly continuous. Since X^{Berk} is compact and Y^{Berk} is Hausdorff, we deduce that $X^{\operatorname{Berk}}/G \rightarrow Y^{\operatorname{Berk}}$ gives a homeomorphism. Hence one can endow $X^{\operatorname{Berk}}/G$ with the structure of a Berkovich strictly K -analytic space induced from that of $Y^{\operatorname{Berk}} = (X/G)^{\operatorname{Berk}}$. It is now clear that the resulting K -analytic space $X^{\operatorname{Berk}}/G$ gives the quotient of X^{Berk} by G in the category of Berkovich K -analytic spaces. \square

Let Γ be a lattice in $\operatorname{PGL}_3(K)$. (One could replace 3 by any n by making trivial changes below.) By Selberg's lemma [2] we know there exists a torsion free normal subgroup $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$ of finite index. Set $G = \Gamma/\Gamma_0$. As discussed earlier in this section, the quotient Ω_K^2/Γ_0 is algebraizable, and is of the form $X_{\Gamma_0}^{\operatorname{an}}$ by a smooth projective variety X_{Γ_0} over K , which is obtained as the generic fiber of the algebraization \mathfrak{X}_{Γ_0} of the formal scheme $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{\Gamma_0} = \widehat{\Omega}_K^2/\Gamma_0$. The rigid analytic space $X_{\Gamma_0}^{\operatorname{an}}/G \equiv \Omega_K^2/\Gamma$ is then isomorphic to $(X_{\Gamma_0}/G)^{\operatorname{an}}$, hence is algebraized by the projective variety X_{Γ_0}/G . We define X_{Γ} as X_{Γ_0}/G . It is independent of the choice of Γ_0 because if Γ'_0 were another torsion free normal

subgroup of Γ of finite index, then both $X_{\Gamma_0}/(\Gamma/\Gamma_0)$ and $X_{\Gamma'_0}/(\Gamma/\Gamma'_0)$ are naturally identified with $X_{\Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma'_0}/(\Gamma/(\Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma'_0))$

Now, let K'/K be a finite extension. In [6], Berkovich constructed a natural $\mathrm{PGL}_3(K)$ -equivariant retraction $\tau: \Omega_K^{2,\mathrm{Berk}} \otimes_K K' \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_K$. Moreover, as is well-known, there exists a natural $\mathrm{PGL}_3(K)$ -equivariant homotopy between the identity map of $\Omega_K^{2,\mathrm{Berk}} \otimes_K K'$ and the retraction map τ (cf. [7, Remark 5.12 (iii)]). Since the quotient map $\Omega_K^{2,\mathrm{Berk}} \rightarrow \Omega_K^{2,\mathrm{Berk}}/\Gamma_0$ is a topological covering map, we have the induced deformation retract $X_{\Gamma_0}^{\mathrm{Berk}} = \Omega_K^{2,\mathrm{Berk}}/\Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_K/\Gamma_0$. By this and Lemma 1.2, we have:

Lemma 1.3. *Let Γ be a lattice in $\mathrm{PGL}_3(K)$, and X_Γ the projective variety over K obtained as above. Then, for any finite field extension K'/K , $X_\Gamma^{\mathrm{Berk}} \otimes_K K'$ deformation-retracts to the quotient of the geometric realization of \mathcal{B}_K by Γ .*

In particular, the homotopy type of $X_\Gamma^{\mathrm{Berk}} \otimes_K K'$ is the same as that of the topological space \mathcal{B}_K/Γ . \square

2. CONSTRUCTION OF THE FAKE PLANE

We fix $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$ throughout the rest of the paper and suppress the subscript $K = \mathbb{Q}_2$ from Ω^2 , $\widehat{\Omega}^2$ and \mathcal{B} .

We recall the following construction from [1]. Let \mathcal{O} be the ring of algebraic integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$, Γ_L be the unitary group of the standard Hermitian lattice $\mathcal{O}[\frac{1}{2}]^3$, and $P\Gamma_L$ its quotient by scalars. To get a lattice in $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_2)$ we fix an embedding $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$. This identifies $P\Gamma_L$ with a lattice in $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_2)$, indeed one of the two densest-possible lattices. (In [1] we defined Γ_L as the isometry group of $L[\frac{1}{2}] := L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}[\frac{1}{2}]$ for a more-complicated Hermitian \mathcal{O} -lattice L . But $L[\frac{1}{2}] = \mathcal{O}[\frac{1}{2}]^3$.)

We write $\lambda, \bar{\lambda}$ for $(-1 \pm \sqrt{-7})/2$. These are the two primes lying over 2, and we choose the notation so that λ is a uniformizer of \mathbb{Z}_2 and $\bar{\lambda}$ is a unit. Defining θ as $\lambda - \bar{\lambda} = \sqrt{-7}$, we obtain an induced inner product on $\mathcal{O}[\frac{1}{2}]^3/\theta\mathcal{O}[\frac{1}{2}]^3 \cong \mathbb{F}_7^3$. This pairing is symmetric and nondegenerate, yielding a natural map from Γ_L to the 3-dimensional orthogonal group over \mathbb{F}_7 . This descends to a homomorphism $P\Gamma_L \rightarrow \mathrm{PO}_3(7) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$. We write Φ_L for the kernel.

Lemma 2.1. $\Phi_L \subseteq \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_2)$ is torsion-free.

Proof. We adapt Siegel's proof [16, §39] that the kernel of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/N)$ is torsion-free for any $N \neq 2$. Suppose given some nontrivial $y \in \Phi_L$ with finite order, which we may suppose is a rational prime p . Choose some lift $x \in \Gamma_L$ of it, so x^p is a scalar σ . By $y \in \Phi_L$, the image

of x in $\mathcal{O}_3(7)$ is a scalar, which is to say that $x \equiv \pm I \pmod{\theta}$. We claim: for any $n \geq 1$, x is congruent mod θ^n to some scalar $\sigma_n \in \mathcal{O}[\frac{1}{2}]$. It follows easily that x itself is a scalar, contrary to the hypothesis $y \neq 1$.

We prove the claim if $p \neq 7$ and then show how to adapt the argument if $p = 7$. By hypothesis the claim holds for $n = 1$, with $\sigma_1 = \pm 1$. For the inductive step suppose $n \geq 1$ and $x \equiv \sigma_n I \pmod{\theta^n}$, so $x = \sigma_n I + \theta^n T$ for some endomorphism T of $\mathcal{O}[\frac{1}{2}]^3$. We must show that T is congruent mod θ to some scalar. Reducing $x^p = \sigma$ modulo θ^n and θ^{n+1} shows that θ^n divides $\sigma - \sigma_n^p$ and that $\sigma_n^p I + p\sigma_n^{p-1}\theta^n T \equiv \sigma I \pmod{\theta^{n+1}}$. Rearranging shows that $p\sigma_n^{p-1}T$ is the scalar $(\sigma - \sigma_n^p)/\theta^n$, modulo θ . Since σ_n and $p \neq 7$ are invertible mod θ , this gives a formula for $T \pmod{\theta}$, hence $\sigma_{n+1} \pmod{\theta^{n+1}}$, and finishes the induction.

If $p = 7 = -\theta^2$ then we write $x = \sigma_n I + \theta^n T$ as before, but reduce $x^7 = \sigma$ modulo θ^{n+2} and θ^{n+3} rather than modulo θ^n and θ^{n+1} . This shows that θ^{n+2} divides $\sigma - \sigma_n^7$ and that $\sigma_n^7 I + 7\sigma_n^6 \theta^n T \equiv \sigma I \pmod{\theta^{n+3}}$. The rest of the argument is the same. \square

Lemma 2.2. $PG_L \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$ is surjective.

Proof. We showed in [1, Thm. 3.2] that PG_L has two orbits on vertices of \mathcal{B} , with stabilizers $L_3(2)$ and S_4 . Fix a vertex v of the first type. By lemma 2.1, Φ_L is torsion-free, so the map $PG_L \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$ is injective on this $L_3(2)$. Its image must be the unique copy of this group in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$, namely $\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$. Next, the fourteen subgroups S_4 of $L_3(2)$ are the PG_L -stabilizers of the neighbors of v . These are all conjugate in PG_L , but not in $L_3(2)$. Therefore the image of PG_L in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$ must be strictly larger than $\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$, hence equal to $\mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$. \square

Since Φ_L is torsion-free, non-Archimedean uniformization yields a \mathbb{Z}_2 -scheme \mathfrak{X}_{Φ_L} . We will write \mathfrak{W}_L for it and W_L for its generic fiber. We fix a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$, which is a dihedral group D_{16} of order 16, and write Σ_L for its preimage in PG_L . (Σ is meant to suggest Sylow.) Because Φ_L is normal in Σ_L , the quotient group D_{16} acts on $\widehat{\Omega}^2/\Phi_L$, hence on \mathfrak{W}_L by the uniqueness of algebraization. (Indeed all of $PG_L/\Phi_L = \mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$ acts.) Because \mathfrak{W}_L is projective and flat over \mathbb{Z}_2 , its quotient \mathfrak{W}_L/D_{16} is also projective and flat over \mathbb{Z}_2 . We write X_L for its generic fiber W_L/D_{16} . This is our fake projective plane, proven to be such in theorem 2.4 below.

The reader familiar with Mumford's construction [13] will recognize that our constructions parallel his: he considered the projective unitary group Γ_M of $M[\frac{1}{2}]$, where M is a different Hermitian \mathcal{O} -lattice. He found that its action on $M[\frac{1}{2}]/\theta M[\frac{1}{2}]$ induces a surjection $P\Gamma_M \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$. The subgroup Σ_M of $P\Gamma_M$ corresponding to a Sylow 2-subgroup $D_8 \subseteq$

$\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$ uniformizes his fake plane. His Σ_M is torsion-free, while our Σ_L contains finite subgroups D_8 . The following lemma is the key that allows the construction of “our” fake plane to work despite this torsion.

Lemma 2.3. *D_{16} acts freely on the closed points of W_L . In particular, $W_L \rightarrow X_L$ is étale and X_L is smooth.*

We remark that D_{16} has horrible stabilizers in the central fiber of \mathfrak{W}_L , such as components with pointwise stabilizer $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$.

Proof. Recall from section 1 that the closed points of W_L are in bijection with the Φ_L -orbits on the points of Ω^2 . The freeness of D_{16} ’s action on this set of these orbits is equivalent to the freeness of Σ_L ’s action on the closed points of Ω^2 . Since Φ_L is a torsion-free uniform lattice, it is normal hyperbolic in the sense of [14, §1], so it acts freely on Ω^2 . An infinite-order element of Σ_L cannot have fixed points in Ω^2 , because some power of it is a nontrivial element of Φ_L . So only the torsion elements of Σ_L could have fixed points.

Because Φ_L is torsion-free, the map $\Sigma_L \rightarrow \Sigma_L/\Phi_L = D_{16}$ preserves the orders of torsion elements. Therefore every torsion element of Σ_L has 2-power order. To show that none of them have fixed points in Ω^2 , it suffices to show that no involution in Σ_L has a fixed point. In fact, no involution in $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_2)$ has a fixed point in Ω^2 : every involution lifts to an involution in $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_2)$, whose eigenspaces are defined over \mathbb{Q}_2 , hence were removed from $\mathbb{P}_K^{2,\mathrm{an}}$ in the definition of Ω^2 . \square

Theorem 2.4. *X_L is a fake projective plane.*

Proof. First we count sixteen Φ_L -orbits on vertices of \mathcal{B} : the $P\Gamma_L$ -orbit of vertices with stabilizer $L_3(2)$ splits into $[\mathrm{PGL}_2(7) : L_3(2)] = 2$ orbits under Φ_L , and the $P\Gamma_L$ -orbit of vertices with stabilizer S_4 splits into $[\mathrm{PGL}_2(7) : S_4] = 14$ orbits under Φ_L .

So proposition 1.1 shows that $\chi(W_L) = 16$, $q(W_L) = 0$, $c_1^2(W_L) = 3c_2(W_L) = 144$, and that W_L has ample canonical class. We now use three times the fact that $W_L \rightarrow X_L$ is étale. First, since the degree is 16, we have $\chi(X_L) = 1$ and $c_1^2(X_L) = 3c_2(X_L) = 9$. Second, X_L has the same Kodaira dimension as W_L (e.g. [3, Chap. I, (7.4)]), hence has general type. Third, since W_L has irregularity 0, the following lemma shows that X_L also has irregularity 0. From the definition of χ it follows that $P_g(X_L) = 0$, completing the proof. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let X and Y be algebraic varieties over a field K , and $f: Y \rightarrow X$ a finite flat morphism of degree not divisible by the characteristic of K . Let $q > 0$ be a positive integer. Then, if $H^q(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$, we have $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$.*

Proof. By flatness, $f_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ is locally free on X . Then the trace map $\mathrm{tr}_{Y/X}: f_*\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, divided by the degree of f , gives a splitting of the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_X \hookrightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_Y$. Since \mathcal{O}_X is a direct summand of $f_*\mathcal{O}_Y$, the lemma follows immediately. \square

Remark. The fake projective plane X_L is commensurable with the Mumford's X_M , by [1, Theorem 3.3].

3. DISTINCTNESS FROM OTHER FAKE PLANES

Our final result is the following:

Theorem 3.1. *The fake plane X_L is not isomorphic over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_2}$ to any fake plane uniformized by a torsion-free subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_2)$.*

Proof. Suppose X is a fake projective plane uniformized by a torsion-free subgroup $P\Gamma$ of $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_2)$. Although we don't need it, we remark that there are three possibilities: Mumford's example and two due to Ishida–Kato[11]. By lemma 1.3, the Berkovich space X^{Berk} has the homotopy type of $\mathcal{B}/P\Gamma$. Since \mathcal{B} is contractible and Γ acts freely (by the absence of torsion), the fundamental group of X^{Berk} is isomorphic to $P\Gamma$. Furthermore, lemma 1.3 assures us that the base extension $X^{\mathrm{Berk}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_2} K'$ also has fundamental group $P\Gamma$, for any finite extension K' of \mathbb{Q}_2 .

Repeating the argument shows that $X_L^{\mathrm{Berk}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_2} K'$ is homotopy equivalent to \mathcal{B}/Σ_L , for any finite extension K' of \mathbb{Q}_2 . And lemma 3.4 below shows that \mathcal{B}/Σ_L has the homotopy type of the standard presentation complex of $\mathbb{Z}/42$. That is, a circle with a disk attached by wrapping the boundary of the disk 42 times around the circle. It follows that $X_L^{\mathrm{Berk}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_2} K'$ has fundamental group $\mathbb{Z}/42$.

If X and X_L were isomorphic over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_2}$ then they would be isomorphic over some finite extension K' of \mathbb{Q}_2 . Then the isomorphism $X \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_2} K' \cong X_L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_2} K'$ would imply $X^{\mathrm{Berk}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_2} K' \cong X_L^{\mathrm{Berk}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_2} K'$. But this is impossible since the left side has infinite fundamental group and the right side has fundamental group $\mathbb{Z}/42$. \square

It remains to prove lemma 3.4, describing the homotopy type of \mathcal{B}/Σ_L . The rest of this section is devoted to this. The key is understanding the central fiber of \mathfrak{W}_L/D_{16} , which in turn requires understanding the central fiber of \mathfrak{W}_L . Recall that the central fiber of $\widehat{\Omega}^2$ is a normal crossing divisor with properties described in section 1.

The central fiber of \mathfrak{W}_L is normal crossing because it is the quotient of the central fiber of $\widehat{\Omega}^2$ by the group Φ_L acting freely. To describe it we need to enumerate its components, double curves and triple points.

Our description in the next lemma refers to the “elements” of the finite projective geometry, meaning the seven points and seven lines of the projective plane over \mathbb{F}_2 . We regard these as the vertices of a graph, with two elements incident if one corresponds to a point and the other to a line containing it. The symbols e, f will always refer to such “elements”, and the symbols p, p', p'' (resp. l, l', l'') will always refer to points (resp. lines) of this geometry. The automorphism group of the graph is $\mathrm{PGL}_2(7) \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(7) \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathrm{GL}_3(2) \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/2)$. Classically, the elements of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$ not in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$ are called “correlations”; they exchange points and lines.

Lemma 3.2. $\mathfrak{W}_{L,0}$ has 16 components, 112 double curves and 112 triple points. In more detail,

- (i) We may label $\mathfrak{W}_{L,0}$ ’s components Π, Π^* and C_e , such that $\mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$ permutes the C_e ’s the same way it permutes the elements e of the finite geometry, and correlations exchange Π and Π^* .
- (ii) Π and Π^* are disjoint.
- (iii) $D_e := C_e \cap \Pi$ and $D_e^* := C_e \cap \Pi^*$ are irreducible curves.
- (iv) If $e \neq f$ are not incident then $C_e \cap C_f = \emptyset$.
- (v) If e, f are incident then $C_e \cap C_f$ has two components. One, which we call D_{ef} , has self-intersection -1 in C_e and -2 in C_f . The other, called D_{fe} , has these numbers reversed.
- (vi) The singular locus of each C_e is a curve of three components. For each f incident to e , exactly one of these components meets C_f ; we call it E_{ef} .
- (vii) If e, f are incident then each of $P_{ef} := \Pi \cap C_e \cap C_f$ and $P_{ef}^* := \Pi^* \cap C_e \cap C_f$ is a single point.
- (viii) If e, f are incident then $Q_{ef} := E_{ef} \cap C_f$ is a single point.
- (ix) Each C_e has two triple-self-intersection points. At such a triple point the incident double curves are E_{ef_1}, E_{ef_2} and E_{ef_3} where f_1, f_2, f_3 are the elements of the geometry incident to e . We may label these triple points R_{eo} , where o is a cyclic ordering on $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$, such that $\mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$ permutes them the same way it permutes the ordered pairs (e, o) .

The components fall into two $\mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$ -orbits:

- (a) $\{\Pi, \Pi^*\}$
- (b) the fourteen C_e ’s.

The double curves fall into four $\mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$ -orbits:

- (a) the seven D_p ’s and seven D_l^* ’s
- (b) the seven D_l ’s and seven D_p^* ’s

- (c) the forty-two D_{ef} 's
- (d) the forty-two E_{ef} 's.

The triple points fall into three $\mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$ -orbits:

- (a) the twenty-one P_{ef} 's and twenty-one P_{ef}^* 's
- (b) the forty-two Q_{ef} 's
- (c) the twenty-eight R_{eo} 's.

Note that $P_{ef} = P_{fe}$ and $P_{ef}^* = P_{fe}^*$, unlike all other cases involving double subscripts.

Proof. By [1, Thm. 3.2], $P\Gamma_L$ acts on the vertices of \mathcal{B} with two orbits, having stabilizers $L_3(2)$ and S_4 . We will pass between vertices of \mathcal{B} and components of $\widehat{\Omega}^2$ without comment whenever it is convenient. Write $\tilde{\Pi}$ for a component of $\widehat{\Omega}^2$ with stabilizer $L_3(2)$. Recall that Φ_L is the kernel of a surjection $P\Gamma_L \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$. Since Φ_L is torsion-free, $L_3(2)$ must inject into $\mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$, so its $P\Gamma_L$ -orbit splits into two Φ_L -orbits. We write Π and Π^* for them, and also for the corresponding components of $\mathfrak{W}_{L,0}$. The same argument shows that the $P\Gamma_L$ -orbit with stabilizer S_4 splits into $[\mathrm{PGL}_2(7) : S_4] = 14$ orbits under Φ_L . Because there is only one conjugacy class of S_4 's in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$, the action of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$ on these components of $\mathfrak{W}_{L,0}$ must correspond to the action on the elements of the finite geometry. We have proven (i). We will call the components other than Π, Π^* the *side components*; this reflects our mental image of $\mathfrak{W}_{L,0}$: Π above, Π^* below, and the other components around the sides.

By the explicit description of $P\Gamma_L$ in the proof of theorem 3.2 of [1], each of $\tilde{\Pi}$'s neighbors in \mathcal{B} has $P\Gamma_L$ -stabilizer S_4 , hence is inequivalent to $\tilde{\Pi}$. Therefore the union of the $P\Gamma_L$ -translates of $\tilde{\Pi}$ is the disjoint union of its components. Since Φ_L permutes these components freely, it follows that Π and Π^* are disjoint, proving (ii). It also follows that $\tilde{\Pi}$ maps isomorphically to Π .

Therefore Π is a copy of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^2$ blown up at its seven \mathbb{F}_2 -points. The curves along which it meets other components are the seven exceptional divisors and the strict transforms of the seven \mathbb{F}_2 -rational lines. Suppose e is the element of the finite geometry corresponding to one of these curves. The $L_3(2)$ -stabilizer of e preserves exactly one element of the geometry, namely e , hence exactly one side component, namely C_e . So C_e must be the side component that meets Π along the chosen curve. In this way the 14 side components account for all the double curves lying in Π , proving that each $C_e \cap \Pi$ is irreducible. By symmetry the same holds for $C_e \cap \Pi^*$. This proves (iii), and then (vii) is immediate.

A simple counting argument shows that $\mathfrak{W}_{L,0}$ has 112 double curves and 112 triple points. We have already named the 28 double curves (D_e and D_e^*) that lie in Π or Π^* , leaving 84. We observe that if two side components meet then their intersection consists of an even number of components. This is because for any elements $e \neq f$ of the geometry, there is some $g \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$ exchanging them. So if a component of $C_e \cap C_f$ has self-intersection -1 in C_e and -2 in C_f , then its g -image has these self-intersection numbers reversed, and therefore cannot be the same curve.

If e, f are incident then $C_e \cap C_f$ contains P_{ef} and is therefore non-empty. By the previous paragraph it has evenly many components. Because there are 21 unordered incident pairs e, f , this accounts for either 42 or 84 of the 84 remaining double curves, according to whether $C_e \cap C_f$ has 2 or 4 components. We will see later that they account for 42 of them.

We claim next that if e and f are a point and a nonincident line, then $C_e \cap C_f = \emptyset$. This is because such pairs $\{e, f\}$ form a $\mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$ -orbit of size 28. If $C_e \cap C_f \neq \emptyset$ then the argument from the previous paragraph shows that such intersections account for at least 56 double curves, while at most 42 remain unaccounted for. The same argument shows $C_e \cap C_f = \emptyset$ if e, f are distinct lines or distinct points. This proves (iv).

Consider one of the $112 - 42 = 70$ triple points outside $\Pi \cup \Pi^*$ and the three (local) components of $\mathfrak{W}_{L,0}$ there. Two of these have the same type (i.e., both correspond to points or both to lines). Since they meet, the previous paragraph shows that these components must coincide. It follows that each side-component has at least one curve of self-intersection. We saw above that if e, f are incident then $C_e \cap C_f$ has either two or four components, and in the latter case these intersections account for all double curves not in $\Pi \cup \Pi^*$. Therefore this case is impossible, proving (v). Now (ii)–(v) show that every one of the $112 - 28 - 42 = 42$ remaining double curves is a self-intersection curve of a side component. So each side component contains $42/14 = 3$ such curves, proving the first part of (vi).

Next we claim that there exist incident e, f such that there is a triple point where two of the (local) components are C_e and the third is C_f . To see this choose any incident e, f and recall from (vii) that $C_e \cap C_f = D_{ef} \cup D_{fe}$ meets $\Pi \cup \Pi^*$ exactly twice. So it must contain some other triple point. By our understanding of double curves the third component there must be C_e or C_f . After exchanging e and f if necessary, this proves the claim. It follows by symmetry that for any incident e, f there is such a triple point, and in fact exactly one since

there are 42 ordered incident pairs e, f and only 70 triple points outside $\Pi \cup \Pi^*$. It follows from this uniqueness that exactly one of the three self-intersection curves of C_e meets C_f , and it does so at a single point. This proves the second half of (vi) and all of (viii).

The remaining $112 - 42 - 42 = 28$ triple points must all be triple-self-intersections of the C_e 's, so each C_e contains two of them. Now fix e and write τ and τ' for these self-intersection points. Obviously the only double-curves that can pass through τ or τ' are E_{ef_1} , E_{ef_2} and E_{ef_3} . The $S_4 \subseteq \mathrm{PGL}_2(7)$ fixing e contains an element of order 3 cyclically permuting f_1, f_2, f_3 , necessarily fixing each of τ, τ' . It follows that each of τ, τ' lies in all three of the E_{ef_i} . Therefore each determines a cyclic ordering on $\{E_{ef_1}, E_{ef_2}, E_{ef_3}\}$, hence on $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$. Since S_4 acts on the E_{ef_i} as S_3 , both cyclic orderings occur, and it follows that τ, τ' induce the two possible cyclic orderings. This proves (ix). \square

Translating the lemma into the dual-complex language gives a complete description of the dual complex of $\mathfrak{W}_{L,0}$:

- (1) Its vertices are Π , Π^* and the C_e .
- (2) For each p , there is an edge D_p from Π to C_p and an edge D_p^* from C_p to Π^* .
- (3) For each l there is an edge D_l^* from Π^* to C_l and an edge D_l from C_l to Π .
- (4) For each ordered pair (e, f) with e, f incident, there is an edge D_{ef} from C_e to C_f and an edge E_{ef} from C_e to itself.
- (5) For each point p and line l that are incident, there is a 2-cell $P_{pl} = P_{lp}$ with its boundary attached along the loop $D_p \cdot D_{pl} \cdot D_l$, and a 2-cell $P_{pl}^* = P_{lp}^*$ with its boundary attached along the loop $D_l^* \cdot D_{lp} \cdot D_p^*$.
- (6) For each ordered pair (e, f) with e, f incident, there is a 2-cell Q_{ef} with its boundary attached along the loop $D_{ef} \cdot D_{fe} \cdot E_{ef}$.
- (7) For each e , there are 2-cells R_{eo} and $R_{eo'}$ where o, o' are the two cyclic orderings on $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$. Their boundaries are attached along the loops $E_{ef_1} \cdot E_{ef_2} \cdot E_{ef_3}$ and $E_{ef_3} \cdot E_{ef_2} \cdot E_{ef_1}$.

Really we are interested in the complex \mathcal{B}/Σ_L , which is the same as the quotient of the complex just described by the dihedral group D_{16} . It is easy to see that if an element of D_{16} fixes setwise one of the cells just listed, then it fixes it pointwise. Therefore \mathcal{B}/Σ_L is a CW complex with one cell for each D_{16} -orbit of cells of \mathcal{B}/Φ_L . To tabulate these orbits we note that D_{16} contains correlations, so Π and Π^* are equivalent, and every C_l is equivalent to some C_p . Next, the subgroup D_8 sending points to points and lines to lines is the flag stabilizer in $L_3(2)$. So it acts on the points (resp. lines) with orbits of sizes 1, 2 and 4. We write p, p', p'' (resp. l, l', l'') for representatives of these orbits.

Since D_{16} normalizes D_8 , the correlations in it exchange the orbit of points of size 1, resp. 2, resp. 4 with the orbit of lines of the same size. That is, p , resp. p' , resp. p'' is D_{16} -equivalent to l , resp. l' , resp. l'' .

Lemma 3.3. \mathcal{B}/Σ_L is the CW complex with four vertices $\bar{\Pi}$, \bar{C}_p , $\bar{C}_{p'}$ and $\bar{C}_{p''}$, and higher-dimensional cells as follows. Its 18 edges are

	\bar{D}_p	$\bar{D}_{p'}$	$\bar{D}_{p''}$	\bar{D}_{pp}	$\bar{D}_{pp'}$	$\bar{D}_{p'p}$	$\bar{D}_{p'p''}$	$\bar{D}_{p''p'}$	$\bar{D}_{p''p''}$
from	$\bar{\Pi}$	$\bar{\Pi}$	$\bar{\Pi}$	\bar{C}_p	\bar{C}_p	$\bar{C}_{p'}$	$\bar{C}_{p'}$	$\bar{C}_{p''}$	$\bar{C}_{p''}$
to	\bar{C}_p	$\bar{C}_{p'}$	$\bar{C}_{p''}$	itself	$\bar{C}_{p'}$	\bar{C}_p	$\bar{C}_{p''}$	$\bar{C}_{p'}$	itself
<hr/>									
	\bar{D}_p^*	$\bar{D}_{p'}^*$	$\bar{D}_{p''}^*$	\bar{E}_{pp}	$\bar{E}_{pp'}$	$\bar{E}_{p'p}$	$\bar{E}_{p'p''}$	$\bar{E}_{p''p'}$	$\bar{E}_{p''p''}$
from	\bar{C}_p	$\bar{C}_{p'}$	$\bar{C}_{p''}$	\bar{C}_p	\bar{C}_p	$\bar{C}_{p'}$	$\bar{C}_{p'}$	$\bar{C}_{p''}$	$\bar{C}_{p''}$
to	$\bar{\Pi}$	$\bar{\Pi}$	$\bar{\Pi}$	itself	itself	itself	itself	itself	itself

Its 15 two-cells and their boundaries are

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{P}_{pp} & : \bar{D}_p \cdot \bar{D}_{pp} \cdot \bar{D}_p^* \\
\bar{P}_{p'p} & : \bar{D}_{p'} \cdot \bar{D}_{p'p} \cdot \bar{D}_p^* \\
\bar{P}_{p''p'} & : \bar{D}_{p''} \cdot \bar{D}_{p''p'} \cdot \bar{D}_{p'}^* \\
\bar{Q}_{pp} & : \bar{D}_{pp} \cdot \bar{D}_{pp} \cdot \bar{E}_{pp} \\
\bar{Q}_{p'p} & : \bar{D}_{p'p} \cdot \bar{D}_{pp'} \cdot \bar{E}_{p'p} \\
\bar{Q}_{p''p'} & : \bar{D}_{p''p'} \cdot \bar{D}_{p'p''} \cdot \bar{E}_{p''p'} \\
\bar{R}_p & : \bar{E}_{pp} \cdot \bar{E}_{pp'}^2 \\
\bar{R}_{p'} & : \bar{E}_{p'p} \cdot \bar{E}_{p'p''}^2 \\
\bar{P}_{pp'} & : \bar{D}_p \cdot \bar{D}_{pp'} \cdot \bar{D}_{p'}^* \\
\bar{P}_{p'p''} & : \bar{D}_{p'} \cdot \bar{D}_{p'p''} \cdot \bar{D}_{p''}^* \\
\bar{P}_{p''p''} & : \bar{D}_{p''} \cdot \bar{D}_{p''p''} \cdot \bar{D}_{p''}^* \\
\bar{Q}_{pp'} & : \bar{D}_{pp'} \cdot \bar{D}_{p'p} \cdot \bar{E}_{pp'} \\
\bar{Q}_{p'p''} & : \bar{D}_{p'p''} \cdot \bar{D}_{p''p'} \cdot \bar{E}_{p'p''} \\
\bar{Q}_{p''p''} & : \bar{D}_{p''p''} \cdot \bar{D}_{p''p''} \cdot \bar{E}_{p''p''} \\
\bar{R}_{p'} & : \bar{E}_{p'p} \cdot \bar{E}_{p'p''}^2
\end{array}$$

Proof. The remarks above show that the D_{16} -orbits on vertices of \mathcal{B}/Φ_L have representatives Π , C_p , $C_{p'}$, $C_{p''}$. We add a bar to indicate their images, the vertices of \mathcal{B}/Σ_L .

By the presence of correlations, the edges D_e and D_e^* with e a line are D_{16} -equivalent to edges D_f^* and D_f with f a point. Therefore orbit representatives for the D_{16} -action on the 28 edges listed under (2) and (3) are D_p , $D_{p'}$, $D_{p''}$, D_p^* , $D_{p'}^*$, $D_{p''}^*$. We add a bar to indicate their images.

Again using the presence of correlations, the D_{16} -orbits of ordered pairs (e, f) with e and f incident are in bijection with the D_8 -orbits of such pairs in which e is a point. These D_8 -orbits are represented by

$$(3.1) \quad (p, l), (p, l'), (p', l), (p', l''), (p'', l') \text{ and } (p'', l''),$$

which therefore index the six D_{16} -orbits on the 42 edges D_{ef} (resp. E_{ef}). The edges D_{pl} , $D_{pl'}$, $D_{p'l}$, $D_{p'l''}$, $D_{p''l'}$ and $D_{p''l''}$ go from C_p to C_l , C_p to $C_{l'}$, $C_{p'}$ to C_l , etc. Therefore their images go from \bar{C}_p to itself, \bar{C}_p to $\bar{C}_{p'}$, $\bar{C}_{p'}$ to \bar{C}_p , etc. We call the images \bar{D}_{pp} , $\bar{D}_{pp'}$, $\bar{D}_{p'p}$, etc. The

edges $E_{pl}, E_{pl'}, E_{p'l}, E_{p'l'}, E_{p''l'}$ and $E_{p''l''}$ are loops based at $C_p, C_p, C_{p'}, C_{p'}, C_{p''}$ and $C_{p''}$. We call their images $\overline{E}_{pp}, \overline{E}_{pp'}, \overline{E}_{p'p}$, etc.

The two-cells P_{pl} meet Π but not Π^* , while the P_{pl}^* meet Π^* but not Π . Therefore the D_{16} -orbits on these cells are in bijection with the D_8 -orbits on the P_{pl} . As in the previous paragraph, orbit representatives are $P_{pl}, P_{pl'}, P_{p'l}, P_{p'l'}, P_{p''l'}$ and $P_{p''l''}$. We call their images $\overline{P}_{pp}, \overline{P}_{pp'}$, etc., and their attaching maps are easy to work out. For example, the boundary of $P_{pl'}$ is given above as $D_p.D_{pl}.D_l$. The images of the first two terms are \overline{D}_p and \overline{D}_{pp} , and D_l is D_{16} -equivalent to D_p^* , so the image of the third term is \overline{D}_p^* . Therefore the boundary of the disk $\overline{P}_{pl'}$ is attached along $\overline{D}_p.\overline{D}_{pp}.\overline{D}_p^*$.

In the same way, D_{16} -orbit representatives on the 2-cells Q_{ef} are $Q_{pl}, Q_{pl'}, Q_{p'l}, Q_{p'l'}, Q_{p''l'}$ and $Q_{p''l''}$. We indicate their images in a similar way to the other images: we add a bar and convert subscript l 's to p 's. As an example we work out the boundary of $\overline{Q}_{p'p''}$, using the boundary of $Q_{p'l''}$ given above as $D_{p'l''}.D_{l''p'}.E_{p'l''}$. The images of the first and third terms are $\overline{D}_{p'p''}$ and $\overline{E}_{p'l''}$. For the image of the second term, we apply a correlation sending l'' to p'' . So the ordered pair (l'', p') is D_{16} -equivalent to some ordered pair (p'', m) where m is a line incident to p'' and D_8 -equivalent to l' . This is D_8 -equivalent to some pair from (3.1), and (p'', l') is the only possibility. Therefore $D_{l''p'}$ is D_{16} -equivalent to $D_{p''l'}$, so the boundary of $\overline{Q}_{p'p''}$ is $\overline{D}_{p'p''}.\overline{D}_{p''p'}.E_{p'p''}$. The other cases are essentially the same.

For the D_{16} -orbits on the 2-cells R_{eo} we note that each of p, p', p'' is fixed by an element of D_8 that exchanges two of the three incident lines. It follows the D_{16} -orbit representatives on these 2-cells are $R_{po}, R_{p'o'}$ and $R_{p''o''}$, where o (resp. o', o'') is a fixed cyclic ordering on the three lines incident to p (resp. p', p''). We write $\overline{R}_p, \overline{R}_{p'}$ and $\overline{R}_{p''}$ for their images. Their boundary maps can be worked out using the following. The three lines through p are l, l' , and another line which is D_8 -equivalent to l' . The three pairs (p', m) , with m a line through p' , are D_8 -equivalent to $(p', l), (p', l'')$ and (p', l''') . The three pairs (p'', m) with m a line through p'' , are D_8 -equivalent to $(p'', l'), (p'', l'')$ and (p'', l''') . It follows that the boundaries of $\overline{R}_p, \overline{R}_{p'}$ and $\overline{R}_{p''}$ are attached along the stated loops. \square

Lemma 3.4. \mathcal{B}/Σ_L is homotopy-equivalent to the standard presentation complex of $\mathbb{Z}/42$. In particular, its fundamental group is $\mathbb{Z}/42$.

Proof. To simplify matters we build up the 2-complex in several stages, suppressing the bars from the names of cells to lighten the notation. First we define K_1 as the 1-complex with the 4 vertices and the edges

$D_p, D_{p'}, D_{p''}, D_p^*, D_{p'}^*, D_{p''}^*$. We collapse the last three edges to points, leaving a rose with three petals, which we will call K_2 . If the boundary of a 2-cell to be attached later involves one of the collapsed edges then we also collapse that portion of the boundary (i.e., we may ignore it).

We define K_3 by attaching to K_2 the edges

$$(3.2) \quad D_{pp}, D_{pp'}, D_{p'p}, D_{p'p'}, D_{p''p'}, D_{p''p''}$$

(which are loops in K_2) and the 2-cells P_{**} having the same subscripts. We may deformation-retract K_3 back to K_2 because each of the newly-adjoined edges is involved in only one of the 2-cells. In particular, the loops (3.2) are homotopic rel basepoint to the inverses of $D_p, D_{p'}, D_{p''}, D_p, D_{p''}$ and $D_{p''}$.

We define K_4 by attaching to K_2 the edges

$$(3.3) \quad E_{pp}, E_{pp'}, E_{p'p}, E_{p'p'}, E_{p''p'}, E_{p''p''}$$

and the 2-cells Q_{**} having the same subscripts. Just as for K_3 , we may deformation-retract K_4 back to K_2 . The loops (3.3) are homotopic rel basepoint to $D_p^2, D_{p'}D_p, D_pD_{p'}, D_{p''}D_{p'}, D_{p'}D_{p''}$ and $D_{p''}^2$.

Finally we define K_5 by attaching the cells $R_p, R_{p'}, R_{p''}$ to K_2 . \mathcal{B}/Σ_L is homotopy-equivalent to this, hence to the rose with three petals $D_p, D_{p'}, D_{p''}$ with three disks attached, along the curves $D_p^2(D_{p'}D_p)^2$, $D_pD_{p'}(D_{p''}D_{p'})^2$ and $D_{p'}D_{p''}(D_{p''}D_{p''})^2$. Regarding these as relators defining $\pi_1(\mathcal{B}/\Sigma_L)$, the third one allows us to eliminate $D_{p'}$ and replace it by $D_{p''}^{-5}$. Then the second one allows us to eliminate D_p and replace it by $D_{p''}^{13}$. The remaining relation then reads $D_{p''}^{42} = 1$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Allcock, D.; Kato, F.: *The densest lattices in $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_2)$* , Adv. Math. **242** (2013), 102–115.
- [2] Alperin, R.: *An elementary account of Selberg's Lemma*, L'Enseignement Mathématique **33** (1987), 269–273.
- [3] Barth, W.; Peters, C.; Van de Ven, A.: *Compact Complex Surfaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1984.
- [4] Berkovich, V. G.: *Spectral theory and analytic geometry over non-Archimedean fields*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 33, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1990.
- [5] Berkovich, V. G.: *Étale cohomology for non-Archimedean analytic spaces*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. **78** (1993), 5–161.
- [6] Berkovich, V. G.: *The automorphism group of the Drinfeld half-plane*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **321** (1995), no. 9, 1127–1132.
- [7] Berkovich, V. G.: *Smooth p -adic analytic spaces are locally contractible*, Invent. Math. **137** (1999), no. 1, 1–84.
- [8] Cartwright, D.; Steger, T.: *Enumeration of the 50 fake projective planes*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **348** (2010), no. 1-2, 11–13.

- [9] Grothendieck, A.; Dieudonné, J.: *Elements de Géométrie Algébrique III*, Publ. Math. IHES **11** (1961), **17** (1963).
- [10] Ishida, M-N.: *An elliptic surface covered by Mumford's fake projective plane*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **40** (1988), no. 3, 367–396.
- [11] Ishida, M-N.; Kato, F.: *The strong rigidity theorem for non-Archimedean uniformization*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **50** (1998), no. 4, 537–555.
- [12] Keum, J.: *A fake projective plane with an order 7 automorphism*, Topology **45** (2006), no. 5, 919–927.
- [13] Mumford, D.: *An algebraic surface with K ample, $(K^2) = 9$, $p_g = q = 0$* , Amer. J. Math. **101** (1979), no. 1, 233–244.
- [14] Mustafin, G.A.: *Nonarchimedean uniformization*, Math. USSR Sbornik, **34** (1978), 187–214.
- [15] Prasad, G.; Yeung, S.-K.: *Fake projective planes*, Invent. Math. **168** (2007), no. 2, 321–370; Addendum in Invent. Math. **182** (2010), no. 1, 213–227.
- [16] Siegel, C.: *Symplectic Geometry*, American J. Math. **65** (1943) 1–86.
- [17] Tamagawa, T.: *On discrete subgroups of p -adic algebraic groups*, 1965 Arithmetical Algebraic Geometry (Proc. Conf. Purdue Univ., 1963) 11–17, Harper & Row, New York

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, AUSTIN

E-mail address: `allcock@math.utexas.edu`

URL: `http://www.math.utexas.edu/~allcock`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KUMAMOTO UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: `kato@sci.kumamoto-u.ac.jp`

URL: `http://www.sci.kumamoto-u.ac.jp/~kato/index-e.html`